Ø 001/006

### SEP 1 3 2004

PTO/SB/21 (02-04) Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number Application Number 09/645.827 TRANSMITTAL Filing Date August 25, 2000 FORM First Named Inventor Dale C. Flanders (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) Art Unit 1725 Examiner Name Johnson, Jonathan J. Attorney Docket Number 6 Total Number of Pages in This Submission 1000.0006 **ENCLOSURES** (Check all that apply) After Allowance communication Fee Transmittal Form Drawing(s) to Technology Center (TC) Appeal Communication to Board Fee Attached Licensing-related Papers of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to TC Petition Amendment/Reply (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Petition to Convert to a After Final Proprietary Information Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation Affidavits/declaration(s) Change of Correspondence Address Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please Extension of Time Request Terminal Disclaimer (dentify below): Express Abandonment Request Request for Refund Information Disclosure Statement CD, Number of CD(s) Remarks Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm J. Grant Houston Individual name Signature Date Seatembe 2004 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below. Typed or printed name Claire J. Handalian Claui Signature Date September /3, 2004

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

CENTRAL FAX CENTER

SEP 1 3 2004

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re:

Dale C. Flanders

Confirmation No: 4350

Serial No:

09/645,827

Group:

1725

Filed:

August 25, 2000

Examiner:

Johnson, Jonathan J.

For:

Optical System Production System

Customer No.: 25263

Attorney

Docket No.

1000.0006

### REPLY BRIEF UNDER RULE 1.93(b)(1)

VIA FACSIMILE: 703-872-9306 Mail Stop Appeal Brief- Patents **Commissioner for Patents** P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir:

This is a reply to the Examiner's Answer mailed July 14, 2004 in the abovecaptioned patent application, which is directed to new issues and arguments raised in the pending Examiner's Answer.

# Answer's Assertion that "Attached" means "be in contact with"

The pending Examiner's Answer argues that the word "attached" means "to be in contact with." See Examiner's Answer at page 6, lines 13 and 14.

This argument is critical to the pending rejection.

The American Heritage® Dictionary, Fourth Edition, was cited for this definition.

It is Applicants' best information, however, that the American Heritage® Dictionary, Fourth Edition, does not contain this definition.

Amendment dated: September 13, 2004 Reply to Examiner's Answer dated July 14, 2004

Attorney Docket No.: 1000.0006

The following is the definition of "attach" and verb form "attached" from the American Heritage® Dictionary, Fourth Edition.

at-tach

v. at-tached, at-tach-ing, at-tach-es

v. tr.

- 1. To fasten, secure, or join: attached the wires to the post.
- 2. To connect as an adjunct or associated condition or part: Many major issues are attached to this legislation.
  - 3. To affix or append; add: attached several riders to the document.
  - 4. To ascribe or assign: attached no significance to the threat.
- 5. To bind by emotional ties, as of affection or loyalty: I am attached to my family.
  - 6. To assign (personnel) to a military unit on a temporary basis.
  - 7. Law. To seize (persons or property) by legal writ.

v. intr.

To adhere, belong, or relate: Very little prestige attaches to this position. The American Heritage® Dictionary of the English Language, Fourth Edition Copyright © 2000 by Houghton Mifflin Company.

Published by Houghton Mifflin Company.

Moreover, this definition is consistent with Applicants' use of the term "attached" and its variants in the present specification.

Most relevantly to the present issue is that American Heritage® Dictionary does not describe "attached" as "be in contact with."

Thus, the definition of the Answer appears to be an incorrect citation. Applicants' respectfully urge the board to reject the Answer's new definition of the word.

## Answer concedes Wolfgang article fails to teach function of claim 17

On page 10, the Answer states:

While it is true that the adjusting system of Wolfgang et al. does not function in exactly the same way as the system of Appellants, the examiner finds that the two systems still meet the test for equivalence under 35 U.S.C. 112, 6<sup>th</sup>

Amendment dated: September 13, 2004 Reply to Examiner's Answer dated July 14, 2004

Attorney Docket No.: 1000.0006

paragraph they still function in substantially the same way. That is, it is the Examiner's position that merely because Applicants' system operates after the bonding step while Wolfgang, et al. system operates prior to and during the bonding step does not overcome the substantial similarities of the two systems function...

This concession seems directly relevant to the language of claim 17:

a pick-and-place machine for receiving optical benches from the bench supply, and for picking optical components from the optical component supply, and for attaching the optical components to the optical benches; and

means for characterizing the positions of the optical components attached to the optical benches, and for mechanically adjusting the relative positions of the optical components attached to the benches.

In short, claim 17 requires an alignment system that 'operates after bonding'.

Thus, the Answer's position seem untenable since *prima facie* equivalents requires that the prior art element must perform the identical function specified in the claim, yet it has been admitted that the function is not disclosed.

#### Answer concedes Wolfgang article fails to teach solder bonding

Claim 2 requires a "pick-and-place machine" that functions by solder bonding the components.

At page 11, first full paragraph, the Answer states that "Examiner agrees that Wolfgang, et al. does not explicitly recite solder bonding." Yet, anticipation is urged because the Wolfgang, et al. laser is hot enough to melt solder, see page 11 at lines 17-18.

It appears to the Applicants that the Examiner is advocating for an extension of anticipation when one could imagine how the prior art device could be modified or controlled to perform the functions of the claimed invention. This, however, is not the law.

Amendment dated: September 13, 2004

Reply to Examiner's Answer dated July 14, 2004

Attorney Docket No.: 1000.0006

#### Answer concedes Wolfgang article fails to teach flip chip bonder

In claim 7, the Applicants' have claimed the use of a specific type of machine, *i.e.*, flip-chip bonder.

The Answer concedes that the Article does not show a flip chip bonder. Yet, the Answer maintains the anticipation rejection. This position is justified in the Answer because it is "Examiner's position that the intended use of a flip-chip bonder does not result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art gripper of Wolfgang, *et al.* See page 12, line 17 of the Answer.

Applicants' admit to a certain degree of confusion. Earlier in the Answer, there was reticence to giving functional limitations much patentable weight. Now, there is a reticence to specific device limitations, i.e., flip-chip bonder, being given patentable weight. Under the standards articulated in the Answer, it appears that anticipation occurs when one can imagine, apparently in hindsight, how a prior art device could be used in a fashion differently that that disclosed in the cited reference.

#### Conclusion

The pending Answer argues that the limitation "optical system aligner that characterizes positions of the optical components, which have been attached to the optical benches, and mechanically adjusts the relative positions of the optical components" of claim 1 should be given little patentable weight. Nonetheless, this position seems to concede that it be given some patentable weight, albeit little weight. Thus, even under this legal framework for anticipation, it seems that the threshold of non-anticipation has been met since, unless these limitations are entirely ignored, it is true the Wolfgang, *et al.* article does not show these claimed features.

Amendment dated: September 13, 2004 Reply to Examiner's Answer dated July 14, 2004

Attorney Docket No.: 1000.0006

Applicants believe that the present application is in condition for allowance. A Notice of Allowance is respectfully solicited. Should any questions arise, the Examiner is encouraged to contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

Grant Houston

Registration No.: 35,900 Tel.: 978-262-0049 Fax: 978-262-0035

Billerica, Massachusetts 01821

Date: